

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
, 09/974,511	10/10/2001	Masahiro Kawakami	00447CD/HG	. 8922
1933	7590 07/02/2002			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ANDREWS, MELVYN J	
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			7
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	+
	09/974,511	KAWAKAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvyn J. Andrews	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>		
Period f r Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of this ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	an 2002 & 10 Oct 01 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of Claims	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims  A) Claim(s) 24.27 and 28.41 is/are pending in the	annliaation	•	
4) Claim(s) 24-27 and 38-41 is/are pending in the	• •		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-27 and 38-41</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<u></u> `		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the list of the list of the prior application from the list of the list of the prior application from the list of the	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has b	peen received.	
Attachment(s)		- 00	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5</li> </ol>	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/974,511

**Art Unit: 1742** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 24 to 26, 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satchell Jr (US 5,938,815). Satchell Jr discloses an iron refining method comprising feeding an iron ore feed, a carbon containing substance and an oxygen containing gas into a secondary reactor to form hot solids containing char and partly reduced iron ore, separating gas from the products to form an intermediate feed which is introduced into a primary reactor which is a melt-gasifier into which high purity

Application/Control Number: 09/974,511

Art Unit: 1742

oxygen is introduced as feed 14 (col. 3, lines 1 to 39) to produce iron 18 but does not explicitly disclose that the high-purity oxygen feed 14 may be a gas containing 20% or more of oxygen but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the oxygen concentration since it is a result effective variableas evidenced by Satchell Jr which discloses that oxygen-enriched air or even high purity oxygen as feed (col.3, lines 1 to 7) In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

Claims 27 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satchell Jr as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of the Japanese patent No.6-271919. Satchell Jr does not disclose a rotary kin a secondary reactor for reducing iron ore but the Japanese patent discloses an iron-making process comprising a process for pre-reducing ore with coal in a rotary kiln to produce a mixed product which is charged into a smelting furnace it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a rotary kiln as a secondary reactor since it is suitable to pre-reduce ore and to produce char as used in the Satchell Jr. primary reactor.

Claims 27 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satchell Jr as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Kundrat (US 5,567,224). Satchell Jr does not disclose a rotary hearth furnace as a secondary reactor but Kundrat disclose a process in which ore mixed with pulverized reductant is fed to a rotary hearth furnace 14 for at least partial metallization, the metal being used as feed material into a refining vessel such as a converter 18 (col.7, lines 24 to 47) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 09/974,511

**Art Unit: 1742** 

to carry out the Satchell Jr pre-reduction in a rotary hearth furnace since Kundrat discloses that a RHF is suitable for at least partial metallization.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvyn J. Andrews whose telephone number is 703-308-3739. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

mja June 27, 2002 MELVYN ANDREWS PRIMARY EXAMINER